

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/923,344	KUBO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven H. Rao	2814	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Steven H. Rao. (3) _____
 (2) Joseph A. Rhoa (37, 515). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 09 October 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yoshida and Uemura.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner requested that formal matters like 112 rejection be overcome to further the Application. Mr. Rhoa will consult with the client to substitute language for phrases like "radially inclined orientation " and "rotational symmetry", etc.

Mr. Rhoa described the difference between the claimed device (eg. figs. 4A to 4C) wherein upon application of the voltage between the electrodes the LC molecules are oriented symmetrically around a central axis at all locations surrounding of the axis as viewed in a Top view.